

## II. REMARKS

### A. Introduction

In this Office Action claims 1-3 are allowed and claims 4-5 are rejected.

In summary of this Response, the specification is amended, the rejection is addressed, and remarks are provided.

### B. Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Rejection of Claims.

In the Office Action, an obviousness-type double patenting rejection is made for claims 4-5 over the claims 12-13 of U.S. Serial No. 10/786,082, still pending.

In response thereto, attached is a Terminal Disclaimer on behalf of the Assignee.

Also attached is the appropriate \$130.00 disclaimer fee set by 37 C.F.R. §1.20(d).

In light of the filing of this Terminal Disclaimer, it is respectfully submitted that this double patenting rejection has been overcome.

### C. Specification Amendment

Pursuant to the Examiner's request, the Abstract is amended herein to conform to length requirements.

## III. CONCLUSION

In light of the above amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that claims 1-5 are now in condition for allowance.

If there are any additional fees associated with this Response, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Serial No. 10/781,636

Finally, if there are any formal matters remaining after this Response, the undersigned would appreciate a telephone conference with the Examiner to attend to these matters.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

2/9/06

By: \_\_\_\_\_

William F. Herbert  
Registration No. 31,024

1201 New York Avenue, NW, 7th Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20005  
Telephone: (202) 434-1500  
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501